

APPENDIX E-2

**HISTORICAL SEDIMENTATION AT THE MOUTHS OF
FIVE DELTAS ON PEORIA LAKE**

Contract Report 2001-08

Historical Sedimentation at the Mouths of Five Deltas on Peoria Lake


by

Nani G. Bhowmik, Erin Bauer,
William C. Bogner, and Mike Demissie

Principal Investigator
Nani G. Bhowmik

Prepared for the
Illinois Department of Natural Resources

June 2001



Illinois State Water Survey
Watershed Science Section
Champaign, Illinois

A Division of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Historical Sedimentation at the Mouths of Five Deltas on Peoria Lake

Contract Report
Prepared by:
Illinois State Water Survey
Watershed Science Section
2204 Griffith Drive
Champaign, Illinois

Principal Investigator
Nani G. Bhowmik

Contributors: Nani G. Bhowmik, Erin Bauer, William C. Bogner,
and Misganaw Demissie

Report Prepared for the:
Illinois Department of Natural Resources

June 2001

This report was printed on recycled and recyclable papers.

Historical Sedimentation at the Mouths of Five Deltas on Peoria Lake

By

Nani G. Bhowmik, Erin Bauer, William C. Bogner, and Misganaw Demissie
Watershed Science Section
Illinois State Water Survey

Abstract

This report summarizes the results of surveying conducted at the mouths of five deltas on Peoria Lake in 1999. The five deltas are at the mouths of Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, Dickison Run, and Farm Creek. All surveying was done to include the planform of the deltas that existed in 1999. The 1999 planform of four of the five deltas except Dickison Run is different than the locations in 1902-1904. In order to estimate the volumes of deposited sediment between 1902-1904 and 1999, a grid was developed encompassing the aerial extent of the 1999 delta. Subsequently, computations determined the net volumetric accumulation of sediment within each grid for each delta: 2,683 acre-feet (Partridge Creek), 1,495 acre-feet (Blue Creek), 1,428 acre-feet (Richland Creek), 1,252 acre-feet (Farm Creek), and 338 acre-feet (Dickison Run). Relative values of the sediment accumulation could be quite misleading since most of these creeks have been altered over the last 100 years, the 1999 outlets are not at the same locations as those that existed in 1902-1904, and a significant amount of sand-and-gravel mining took place at several locations such as at Farm Creek. Still these values provide a significant contribution toward the understanding of the relative magnitudes of sediments being deposited at the mouths of these five deltas.

Keywords: *Peoria Lake, Illinois River, delta, sediment deposition, tributary, sediment*

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Introduction and Background	1
Acknowledgments	5
Objectives	5
Site Selection	6
Limitations	6
Historical Analysis	6
Richland Creek	8
Partridge Creek	9
Blue Creek	10
Dickison Run	10
Farm Creek	11
Contour Development Methodology	11
Surveying Methodology	11
Water-Level Contouring	12
Hydrographic Survey	13
1999 Data Analysis	13
1904 Elevation Data	13
Grid Development	13
1904 and 1999 Contour Maps	15
Richland Creek Delta	15
Partridge Creek Delta	15
Blue Creek Delta	20
Dickison Run Delta	20
Farm Creek Delta	27
Sedimentation at Delta Mouths	27
Stage-Area and Stage-Volume Relationships	36
Unit Weight and Moisture Content	36
Summary	41
References	42
Appendix. Historical Aerial Photographs of the Five Selected Deltas	43
AA – R1 to R8 Aerial Views of the Richland Creek Delta	45
AA – P1 to P8 Aerial Views of the Partridge Creek Delta	51
AA – B1 to B7 Aerial Views of the Blue Creek Delta	57
AA – D1 to D5 Aerial Views of the Dickison Creek Delta	63
AA – F1 to F7 Aerial Views of the Farm Creek Delta	69

List of Figures

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1. Drainage basin of the Illinois River above Peoria Lock and Dam at RM 157.8 and the profile of the Illinois River Waterway	2
Figure 2. Aerial photographs of Peoria Lake taken in 1985	3
Figure 3. Major tributaries of Peoria Lake	4
Figure 4. Drainage basin of the five tributaries with the 1999 surveyed delta areas	7
Figure 5. 1996 cross sections along the spine of each delta and the extent of the 1999 survey grid area for each delta	14
Figure 6. 1904 contour map of Richland Creek delta area	16
Figure 7. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Richland Creek delta	17
Figure 8. 1999 contour map of Richland Creek delta within grid area	18
Figure 9. 1904 contour map of Partridge Creek delta area	19
Figure 10. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Partridge Creek delta	21
Figure 11. 1999 contour map of Partridge Creek delta within grid area	22
Figure 12. 1904 contour map of Blue Creek delta area	23
Figure 13. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Blue Creek delta	24
Figure 14. 1999 contour map of Blue Creek delta within grid area	25
Figure 15. 1904 contour map of Dickison Run delta area	26
Figure 16. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Dickison Run delta	28
Figure 17. 1999 contour map of Dickison Run delta within grid area	29
Figure 18a. 1904 contour map of Farm Creek delta area, including the surveyed area of the 1999 Farm Creek delta	30
Figure 18b. 1904 contour map of the location of the 1999 Farm Creek delta area	31

List of Figures (concluded)

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 19. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Farm Creek delta	32
Figure 20. 1999 contour map of Farm Creek delta within grid area	33
Figure 21. Lateral cross section at 1999 spine of Blue Creek delta	36
Figure 22. Elevation versus surface area and elevation versus volume relationships for a) Richland Creek delta, b) Partridge Creek delta, c) Blue Creek delta, d) Dickison Run delta, and e) Farm Creek delta	37

List of Tables

	<i>Page</i>
Table 1. Tributary Streams of Peoria Lake with Respective Drainage Areas	5
Table 2. Water-Level Elevation (feet) of Peoria Lake during 1999 Delta Surveying, Elevations in NGVD	12
Table 3. Summary of Grid Area Information	20
Table 4. Net Sediment Accumulation at the 1999 Delta Mouths within the Grid Area	34
Table 5. Sediment Accumulation Rates within the Grid Area, 1904-1999	35
Table 6. Peoria Lake Sediment Analyses: Spindler Marina Dredging Project	40

Introduction and Background

Peoria Lake, located between River Mile (RM) 160 and RM 180 on the Illinois River, is the largest bottomland lake along the Illinois River. Figure 1 shows the drainage basin of the Illinois River at Peoria Lock and Dam. The total drainage area at the lock and dam is approximately 14,165 square miles. Figure 1 also shows the profile of the Illinois River, including the location of locks and dams. Over the years, Peoria Lake has experienced a significant amount of sediment deposition (Demissie and Bhowmik, 1986). Demissie and Bhowmik (1986) showed that Peoria Lake has the highest sedimentation rate of all lakes in Illinois, and that it had lost 68 percent of its 1904 capacity by 1985. One of the many causative factors in the high sedimentation rate of this lake is the sudden change in the gradient of the Illinois River at the upper end of the lake (Figure 2). The gradient changes from 1.14-2.00 feet per mile above Peoria Lake to 0.12-0.20 feet per mile near the lake, which accelerates sediment deposition within the lake. Moreover, the Illinois River below DePue (Figure 1) is the remnant channel of the old Mississippi River. The high flow of the old Mississippi River created the broad Illinois River channel and valley below DePue. The present flow of the river is much less than that of the old Mississippi River. Thus, the channel is aggrading naturally and attempting to establish a new dynamic equilibrium under the present flow and sediment regime.

Hydrographic mapping in 1996 by the Rock Island District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has shown that the capacity loss of Peoria Lake at that time could be as much as 80 percent or more. Bhowmik et al. (1993) showed that during a drought Water Year, such as in 1989, about 75 percent of the sediment input to Peoria Lake is contributed by the main stem of the Illinois River and another 25 percent is contributed by all the tributaries. In an average Water Year, such as 1990, the main stem of the Illinois River contributed only about 50 percent of the total sediment load; the remaining 50 percent came from the local tributaries. This becomes very important when one considers the fact that the drainage area of the local tributaries comprises only about 4 percent of the total drainage area, yet 50 percent of the total sediment is contributed by these tributaries in an average year. For the drought Water Year 1989, Bhowmik et al. (1993) estimated that about 65 percent of the total sediment loads contributed by local tributaries came from the bed load.

Aerial photographs of Peoria Lake taken in 1988 show that almost all the deltas have grown over the last 60 years (see Appendix). Field inspection also has shown that the main bed materials of most deltas are sand and gravel. It is clear that the local tributaries have contributed a significant amount of coarse-grained materials to Peoria Lake, which has increased the sediment deposition rates in the nearshore areas of the lake. There are at least ten major tributaries to Peoria Lake. Figure 2 shows aerial photographs of Peoria Lake with deltas created by some of the lake's tributaries. Figure 3 shows the major tributaries of Peoria Lake. The drainage areas of these tributaries vary from about 2.8 square miles to about 130 square miles with about 57.8 square miles contributed by the unnamed minor tributaries. Table 1 gives drainage areas of the major tributaries (Bhowmik et al., 1993).




Figure 1. Drainage basin of the Illinois River above Peoria Lock and Dam at RM 157.8, and the profile of the Illinois River Waterway



Figure 2. Aerial photographs of Peoria Lake taken in 1985




Figure 3. Major tributaries of Peoria Lake

**Table 1. Tributary Streams of Peoria Lake
with Respective Drainage Areas**

<i>Stream</i>	<i>Drainage Area (square mile)</i>
Crow Creek	130.0
Dry and Richland Creek	47.0
Partridge Creek	28.0
Blue Creek	10.5
Funk's Run	5.4
Tenmile Creek	17.6
Senachwine Creek	85.0
Dickison Run	7.9
Farm Creek	60.0
Blalock Creek	2.8
Unnamed tributaries	57.8
Total drainage area	452.0

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by a the Department of Natural Resources under Award No. IL DNR 9980. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. John Marlin, Waste Management Research and Information Center, served as the Project Manager. The Rock Island District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers provided the 1902-1904 Woermann Maps. Eva Kingston edited the report, Linda Hascall provided graphic support and final layout, and Linda Dexter typed the camera-ready copy.

Objectives

The results presented in this report are a component of a project on Peoria Lake being conducted for the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. The objectives of this component of the project are:

- a. Survey and map the aerial extent of five deltas as of 1999.
- b. Compute the amount of sediment deposited from 1904 through 1999 within the aerial extent of the 1999 planform shape.

Site Selection

After a review of available aerial photographs, five deltas were selected for their large size and evident sediment contribution to Peoria Lake: Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, and Farm Creek on the east-side of the lake, and Dickison Run on the west side of the lake. Each delta was surveyed to develop the necessary contour maps of the deltas. Figure 4 shows these tributaries and the aerial extent of the surveyed area.

Limitations

Before discussing the 1999 survey and its comparison with the 1902-1904 Woermann maps, some limitations of these analyses must be stated. Most of the tributary mouths within Peoria Lake have been altered over the last 100 years. It would be nice if the areal extent of the 1999 deltas were at the same locations as those that existed in 1902-1904. However, some of the tributary mouths were channelized, diverted, and/or altered, making it difficult to compare the differences in sediment accumulations between 1902-1904 and 1999 at the delta mouths that exist today. Moreover, at many locations, significant quantities of sediment were mined, and there is no record of the volumes of these mined areas. Thus a decision was made to concentrate within the areal extent of the 1999 delta and conduct the survey within this area. Also in order to avoid including a very large area, a grid area was developed for each delta that encompassed the 1999 planform of the deltas. Subsequent analyses included in this report will show the volumes of the sediment deposited within each grid area from 1902-1904 to 1999 even though some of the tributaries discharged sediment and water at different locations than those existing today. This was the best alternative that could be adopted because the tributaries have been altered significantly. These concepts will further be discussed in subsequent sections.

Historical Analysis

Over the last 96 years, the tributary and main channel interfaces within Peoria Lake have changed dramatically. A previous report on the sediment inputs to Peoria Lake from local tributaries summarized some of the historical changes observed at the selected deltas (Bhowmik et al., 1993). Some of this text will be repeated in this report. Aerial photographs referenced in this report were taken at different times of the year, and the exact date and/or river stage at the time each photograph was taken were not always available. Still these photographs provide information for qualitative assessments of the aerial extent and variability of the delta forms. This is what has been done for this report. The aerial photographs discussed are given in the Appendix. The maps and photographic sources are:

- ♦ The Woermann maps of the Illinois Waterway from Grafton to Lockport prepared from a 1902-1904 survey conducted under direction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or USACOE (Woermann, 1902-1904).
- ♦ Aerial photography flown under the direction of the U.S. Department of Agriculture-American Soil Conservation Service for the years 1939, 1951, 1957, 1963, and 1969. These photographs are available at the University of Illinois Geography Library.




Figure 4. Drainage basin of the five tributaries with the 1999 surveyed delta areas

- ♦ Aerial photography flown under the direction of the Soil Conservation Service, 1974.
- ♦ Aerial photography flown under the direction of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) for 1988.
- ♦ Color infrared aerial photography from 1994 flown under the direction of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) managed by the Upper Mississippi River Environmental Management Technical Center (UMRE-EMTC), Onalaska, Wisconsin. These maps are available on the Web page of the UMRE-EMTC (http://www.umesc.usgs.gov/geographic_search/river_selection/illinois/peoria/peo_air_photos.html).

During the late 1900s, the natural stream channels of these deltas changed courses periodically, which formed braided channels on the delta and distributed sediment in a broad, fan-shape at the outlet of the channel (see Appendix).

“Where these deltas form in back areas of Peoria Lake, they develop in textbook fashion, with the stream channel swinging from side to side to form a braided channel planform. Where the stream mouth flows into the main Illinois River channel, the river washes out the delta ... thus limiting the rate of apparent growth” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 35).

The stream channel and outlet locations of four of the five deltas have changed dramatically since 1904, and they were not within the grid area defined by the horizontal extent of the 1999 delta. Construction projects were implemented in the 1930s and 1940s to permanently direct and channelize Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, and Farm Creek (Bhowmik et al., 1993) directly toward the river. New, substantial-sized, elongated delta forms were later observed along the Peoria Lake shoreline. A brief review of the channelization, development, and recent growth of these tributaries will be discussed for each of the five deltas.

Richland Creek

The Richland Creek channel and outlet have changed dramatically since the early 1900s. Richland Creek and Dry Creek....

“....were originally separate with end points approximately one mile apart. The 1902-1904 Woermann survey indicated no distinct outlet for either creek. The 1937 quad shows Dry Creek diverted south to an outlet into the lake, but Richland Creek still shows no outlet” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 37).

This is clearly indicated in the 1939 photograph of Richland Creek (R1 in Appendix).

“Channel change permits for Richland Creek were issued by IDOT in 1942-1943, and the 1951 photos show the two creeks channelized, with a combined channel flowing into their current outlet [R2 in Appendix]. Delta growth was apparent in 1951,

and a developed delta with vegetation and a braided outlet appears in the 1957 photograph [R2-R3 in Appendix]. In 1963, the delta had a defined channel and a large wooded area [R4 in Appendix]. Growth rates seemed to slow from 1963 to 1969, and the delta was fairly stable from 1969 to 1985 [R4-R7 in Appendix, the 1985 photograph was not available].

“The delta developed in very shallow water depths, and its growth may have been halted by a combination of increased above-water-level deposition and a change in water depth in the active growth area of the delta” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 37).

Growth analysis of Richland Creek delta based on the 1902-1904 Woermann maps and the 1978 USACOE orthophoto maps found that the volume of the delta had grown by 858 acre-feet during this period (Bhowmik et al., 1993). Between 1974 and 1988, the delta area north of the stream channel may have undergone additional levee construction or improvements (R7 in Appendix). This area is a Waterfowl Management Area.

By 1994, the stream channel had developed a horseshoe-like bend to the north near the end of the delta (R8 in Appendix). Stream flow and sediment are directed toward an old early 1900s era levee and channel. The 1994 aerial photograph shows the region within the bend of the stream channel and the levee filled with sediment or leveed and vegetated.

Partridge Creek

Growth analysis of Partridge Creek delta from the 1902-1904 Woermann maps and the 1978 USACOE orthophoto maps found that the volume of the delta had grown by 1,280 acre-feet during this period (Bhowmik et al., 1993).

“The 1902-1904 Woermann maps show Partridge Creek flowing along the north side of Illinois Route 26 to discharge into the lake with Blalock Creek [to be discussed later]. The 1937 quad shows the creek following its approximate present course but with no well-defined outlet. The 1939 photography shows a similar condition with no shoreline disturbance [P1 in Appendix].

“Illinois Department of Transportation permit files indicate that a drainage permit was issued to the Partridge Creek Mutual Drainage District in 1948. By 1951, the creek had been channelized and a delta was developing [P2 in Appendix].

“The 1957 photographs indicate a braided channel distributing sediment throughout the delta with very little areal growth [P3 in Appendix]. In 1963, the flow across the delta had been channelized and the delta showed new growth [P4 in Appendix]. This channel continued to grow through 1985 as the creek cut new channels and extended itself by branching into new areas” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 37).

This growth is apparent by comparing the 1969 view of P5 (see Appendix) and the 1974 view of P6 (see Appendix); the 1985 view is no longer available. The delta appears to have

grown substantially between 1974 and 1994 (P6-P8 in Appendix). During this time, the stream channel developed some broad bends, a horseshoe-like bend in its midsection, and braided channel outlets on the unvegetated delta growth of the tip.

Blue Creek

Growth analysis of Blue Creek delta from the 1902-1904 Woermann maps and the 1978 USACOE orthophoto maps found that the volume of the delta had grown by 972 acre-feet during this period (Bhowmik et al., 1993).

“In 1957, the creek was subjected to major channelization. The outlet was moved 700 to 800 feet to the north. The older delta deposits appear stable and a new delta is forming [B1-B3 in Appendix]. The delta grew rapidly (from that time) through 1963, and the channel appeared to be braided in 1963 and 1969 [B4 in Appendix]. No major growth is apparent from 1963 to 1969.

“By 1979, a channel had been dredged across the delta and renewed growth is apparent [B5 for 1974 photograph in Appendix]. In 1985, continued growth of this delta appeared to be limited by proximity to the main channel” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 37).

Recent aerial photographs indicate that the delta has continued to extend into the river (B6 and B7 in Appendix).

Dickison Run

The 1902-1904 Woermann maps do not indicate a distinct stream channel across the delta to the river (Woermann, 1902-1904). The 1933 quadrangle and the 1939 aerial photograph reveal a distinct stream channel and outlet (D1 in Appendix).

By 1951, significant delta growth is clear beyond the 1939 shoreline. The stream channel is braided across the new delta (D2 in Appendix). By 1957, vegetation appears established on most of the new deposits (D3 in Appendix).

By 1974, the delta had broadened to the north and south, and vegetation appears well-established (D4 in Appendix). The only indication of the old 1957 stream channel in the 1974 photograph is a slight variation in the vegetation. The delta channel and braided outlet are no longer visible. From 1974 to 1988, no significant growth is apparent (D5 in Appendix). Traces of the stream channel and outlet are not visible in 1994 aerial photography (D6 in Appendix).

During a field inspection in 1999 under high river stage conditions, a well-defined stream channel was not found. Stream flow was dispersed across a wide (~300 feet), well-vegetated portion of the southwestern side of the delta (D6 in Appendix).

Farm Creek

“The 1902-1904 Woermann map (Woermann, 1902-1904) and a 1905 U.S. Geological Survey quadrangle map indicate that the outlet of Farm Creek was 0.25 miles south of the Franklin Street Bridge.

“In the late 1920s, a relief channel was built by the East Peoria Sanitary District to reduce flooding by the constricted main channel. This diversion channel was further modified in the late 1930s by the Sanitary District and in the mid-1950s by the Corps of Engineers.

“Aerial photography indicates that by 1939 the diversion channel had created obvious delta deposits at the outlet [as shown in F1 in Appendix]. By 1964, the diversion outlet was shifted several hundred feet north during the construction of Interstate 74, and new delta growth was taking place [F2 and F3 in Appendix].

“From 1963 to at least 1979, the delta developed in Lower Peoria Lake and was separated from the main channel by a naturally formed sandbar [F3-F5 in Appendix]. In 1985, this older channel was blocked off and a new channel was flowing directly into the main channel” (Bhowmik et al., 1993, p. 36).

By 1988, new delta growth at the outlet of the new channel was apparent (F6 in Appendix). By 1994, considerable delta growth had occurred on the downstream side of the stream outlet (F7 in Appendix). The old stream channel was still visible, and some delta growth was evident at its braided stream outlet.

Contour Development Methodology

In 1999, the Illinois State Water Survey collected topographic and hydrographic survey data of the Richland, Partridge, Blue, Dickison Run, and Farm Creek deltas (Figure 5). The surveying techniques followed are described in the following sections.

Surveying Methodology

Elevation contour information was collected between January and May of 1999 from these five deltas directly draining into Peoria Lake (Figures 4 and 5). These surveys covered the entire areal extent of the delta including those under water. Data collection was performed in two stages. The first stage involved recording the location of the land and water interface (shoreline) at different river stages to determine water-level contours on each delta. The second stage involved hydrographic surveying during high river stage conditions along several radial lines to define the shoreline, extent, and bathymetry of each delta.

The methodology and instrumentation are described in the following sections.

Water-Level Contouring

Water-level contours were collected for each delta area for a series of Illinois River stages. The approximate location of the contact point between the 1900-era lakeshore and the deposition area of the delta landform was estimated based on a review of aerial photographs and maps of each delta.

For these surveys, a backpack-mounted, differentially corrected Geodetic Positioning System (DGPS) was used to map the stage-specific shoreline of each delta. The GPS positions were differentially corrected using the Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services (RTCM) correction signals broadcast by the U.S. Coast Guard from St. Louis, Missouri, or Rock Island, Illinois, received on an MBX 2/00 Marine Beacon Receiver. Horizontal accuracy of the RTCM-corrected GPS is 1 to 5 meters (CSII, n.d. and LNPD, n.d.). Location data were collected along the water/land interface to the 1990-era lakeshore/delta landform contact point on the opposite side of the delta.

The data collection protocol for each targeted river stage and for each delta form proceeded as follows: the survey crew walked along the land/water interface to collect horizontal position information at the edge of the water on a continuous basis or at approximately 50-foot intervals. This method produced a contour outline of each delta at the same water-level elevation for Peoria Lake. Water-level reference marks were measured at the beginning and end of each data collection period to correct for a change in the water elevation during the survey. This procedure was repeated at different water levels, thus producing a series of contour lines at various water levels showing the interface of the land and water surface. Additional data were collected for any dike, berm, or levee features that might assist in the development of surface contours. Plots of the surveyed delta are described in subsequent sections.

River stages during data collection for various trips varied from approximately 443 to 448 feet National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). Vertical control was referenced to temporary reference marks established at the time of each survey. These reference marks were later surveyed to establish vertical control markers. Vertical resolution of the location of the edge of the water was 0.1 to 0.5 feet. The lower vertical resolution resulted from difficulty in locating the land/water interface in areas of loose debris accumulation. In the absence of debris, the vertical resolution was 0.1 feet. Table 2 provides water-level elevations during delta surveying.

Table 2. Water-Level Elevation (feet) of Peoria Lake during 1999 Delta Surveying, Elevations in NGVD

<i>Tributary</i>	<i>February 2-3</i>	<i>Land survey</i>		<i>Hydrographic survey</i> <i>May 10-12</i>
		<i>February 9-10</i>	<i>February 22-23</i>	
Richland Creek	448.62	446.50	443.00	445.98
Partridge Creek	448.32	446.50	443.00	445.44
Blue Creek	448.25	446.20	445.91	445.91
Dickison Run	448.25	446.21	445.17	445.17
Farm Creek	447.97	445.82	442.33	446.18

Hydrographic Survey

The water-level contouring survey at the land/water interface was supplemented by a hydrographic survey during high river stage conditions. The hydrographic survey was conducted using an Odom Hydrographic Systems (OHS, n.d.) MK II fathometer for water depth measurements with a 0.1 foot resolution and an RTCM differentially corrected Leica 9500 GPS (LNPD, n.d.) unit for horizontal control with 1 to 5 meter resolution. All navigation and data logging functions were coordinated using Hypack, a hydrographic survey software. The fathometer was calibrated daily prior to initiating measurements and at the end of the day.

The survey plan for the hydrographic portion of the survey for each delta included two boat runs around the perimeter of the delta as well as 5 to 10 radial lines. Perimeter survey runs were made at the edge of the brush line around the delta and on a line approximately 50 to 100 feet out from the brush line. Radials, approximately perpendicular to the brush line, were run from 200 to 300 feet out into the river toward the delta perimeter to define the horizontal extent of the delta relative to the flat lake-bottom or river channel. As needed, a series of lines was run across the tip of the delta to define the location of the most recent deposits.

1999 Data Analysis

Each DGPS delta dataset from the water-level contouring survey performed in 1999 was assigned the water-level elevation corresponding to the river level on the date and at the time of data collection. Hydrographic survey data were processed using Hypack software. Depth data were converted to elevation data with respect to the water-level elevation at the time of the survey. Table 2 provides the lake elevations during hydrographic surveying. All differential GPS (DGPS) and hydrographic data were converted into Geographical Information Systems (GIS) format. Each tributary channel location was delineated and digitized using a combination of the 1999 survey data, the location of the channel on the recent digitally rectified graphic of the USGS quadrangle, and the location of the channel from USGS aerial photographs.

1904 Elevation Data

Baseline elevation data were digitized from the 1904 Woermann map (Woermann, 1902-1904), contour lines, and water depths. Contour lines and the channel limits within and surrounding the grid areas of each 1999 delta were digitized using Arc/Info software. Grid selection is described in the next section. Lake-bottom contour lines were drawn relative to the Woermann depth data and digitized using Arc/Info software. The 1904 Woermann elevations were converted from Memphis Datum elevations to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) elevations by subtracting 7.304 feet (McKibbin, 1954). All elevations in this report are relative to NGVD.

Grid Development

Using Arc/Info 7.1.1 software, two elevation grids were developed for each delta, one representing the 1904 elevations and the other representing the 1999 elevations. The horizontal extent of the 1999 survey data was used as a guide to define the grid area for calculating the

volume of each delta. Comparisons between 1904 and 1999 elevations were based on this grid area even though in some cases the location of the 1904 tributary channel and outlet was dramatically different from its location in 1999 and was not within the grid area. The extent of each cross section from the delta was plotted based on the 1996 cross-sectional data of the Illinois River provided by the USACE. Figure 6 provides the plots along the spine of each delta. The cross section shown is not the standard river cross section. Here the axis of the spine of the delta was extended to the opposite end of the lake, and a river cross-sectional profile along this line was developed. These are the plots shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. 1996 cross sections along the spine of each delta and the extent of the 1999 survey grid area for each delta

The 1999 elevation grid was derived from the DGPS water-level contouring survey data, the hydrographic survey data, the grid extent for each delta, and the path of the tributary. The 1904 elevation grid was derived from the Woermann contour line data and lake-bottom contours.

For some delta grids, additional contours from recent USGS quadrangle contours between DGPS survey data points or control points were added to supplement the input data as needed to produce realistic grid elevation values and slope. Because the 1904 and 1999 grids used the same grid area, they are exactly the same size and shape. The cells of the 1904 and 1999 grids also correspond exactly.

Each grid was checked with input data to verify accurate elevation representation. Surface contours were derived from the elevation grids using ArcView software. Generated surface contours from the 1904 grids were compared with the original surveyed contours from the 1902-1904 Woermann maps. Surface contours generated from the 1999 grids were compared with the surveyed data and shoreline surveys. The 1999 Blue Creek and Farm Creek grids were adjusted manually to correct for unusual shoreline shapes or regions with dramatically varying slopes that could not be derived from or defined well by the grid.

The 1904 and 1999 average grid elevations were compared to determine the net sediment accumulation during the intervening period. Table 3 summarizes characteristics of each grid. The following subsections will describe each of the five surveyed deltas.

1904 and 1999 Contour Maps

Richland Creek Delta

Figure 6 shows the 1904 contours of the Richland Creek delta area. This figure also shows the extent of the grid area selected for further analysis. These contours and a few elevation control points were used to determine a mean elevation of the grid area for the 1902-1904 era at 438.3 feet NGVD. The 1902-1904 Woermann map clearly indicates the 1904 orientation of Richland Creek to the south of the orientation.

Figure 7 shows the 1999 survey routes, including point elevation datapoint locations, water edge contours, and hydrographic survey routes. These data were used to develop a contour map within the grid area for Richland Creek (Figure 8). Based on this contour map, a mean elevation of the delta within this grid was calculated to be 442.9 feet NGVD, 3.8 feet above the 1904 mean grid elevation given in Table 3.

Partridge Creek Delta

Figure 9 shows the 1904 Woermann contours of the Partridge Creek delta. Again, the 1999 Partridge Creek location is not the same as in 1904. The 1904 contours within the grid area and a few selected elevations were used to determine the mean elevation of the grid area, 435.1 feet NGVD.




Figure 6. 1904 contour map of Richland Creek delta area




Figure 7. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Richland Creek delta




Figure 8. 1999 contour map of Richland Creek delta within grid area




Figure 9. 1904 contour map of Partridge Creek delta area

Figure 10 shows the 1999 survey routes including point elevation data point locations, land/water surface interfaces, and the hydrographic survey routes for the Partridge Creek delta. These data were used to develop contour maps for the Partridge Creek delta within the grid area (Figure 11). Based on these contours a mean elevation for the grid area was determined to be 441.7 feet NGVD, 6.6 feet above the mean 1904 grid elevation given in Table 3.

Blue Creek Delta

Figure 12 shows the 1904 Woermann contours of the Blue Creek delta area. Similar to the 1904 Richland and Partridge Creeks, the location of Blue Creek in 1904 is some distance from the location of the 1999 Blue Creek and delta. The 1904 mean elevation of the defined grid area for Blue Creek was 433.5 feet NGVD. The 1902-1904 Woermann map indicates the 1904 orientation of Blue Creek to the north is the 1999 orientation.

The 1999 grid elevations were generated using the DGPS survey data, the hydrographic elevation data, the path of Blue Creek, the delineation of the water-level contouring survey routes, and the delineation of the 460-foot contour from the USGS quadrangle DRG. Figure 13 shows the data within the grid area. The mean grid elevation for 1999 is 440.9 feet NGVD. Figure 14 shows the contours derived from the 1999 survey within the grid. Grid elevation contours were adjusted manually to more realistically represent the topography on the irregular shoreline at 440 feet NGVD on the northern flank of the delta and along the irregular topography on the southern flank at 441 feet NGVD. The mean elevation of the grid for 1999 is 440.9 feet NGVD, 7.4 feet above the mean grid elevation of 1904 (Table 3).

Dickison Run Delta

Figure 15 shows the 1904 Woermann contours for Dickison Run. The creek essentially did not move from its 1904 orientation. Based on these contours, the mean elevation of the 1904 grid of the Dickison Run delta is 440.3 feet NGVD.

Table 3. Summary of Grid Area Information

	<i>Cell size (feet)</i>	<i>Number of grid cells</i>	<i>Grid area (acres)</i>	<i>Average grid elevation (NGVD)</i>		<i>Average elevation difference (feet)</i>	<i>Sediment accumulation 1904-1999 (acre-feet)</i>
				<i>1999 (feet)</i>	<i>1904 (feet)</i>		
Richland Creek	30 × 30	18,001	372	442.2	438.3	3.8	1,428
Partridge Creek	30 × 30	19,798	409	441.7	435.1	6.6	2,683
Blue Creek	30 × 30	9,737	201	440.9	433.5	7.4	1,497
Dickison Run	30 × 30	8,019	166	442.4	440.3	2.0	338
Farm Creek	15 × 15	32,281	167	432.1	432.1	7.5	1,252




Figure 10. 1999 survey data, route, and grid extent for Partridge Creek delta




Figure 11. 1999 contour map of Partridge Creek delta within grid area




Figure 12. 1904 contour map of Blue Creek delta area




Figure 13. 1999 survey, route, and grid extent for Blue Creek delta




Figure 14. 1999 contour map of Blue Creek delta within grid area




Figure 15. 1904 contour map of Dickison Run delta area

The 1999 grid elevations were generated using the following: the 1999 DGPS survey data, the hydrographic elevation data, the path of Dickison Run, the delineation of the water-level contouring survey routes, the delineation of the 470-foot contour lines from the USGS quadrangle DRG, and additional contours between DGPS points from the hydrographic survey. Figure 16 shows locations where field data were collected in 1999. Figure 17 shows 1999 contours developed from the collected data. Contours shown in Figure 17 were used to determine the mean grid elevation, 442.4 feet NGVD. This mean elevation is 2.0 feet higher than the 1904 mean elevation for the grid area (Table 3).

The 1904 and 1999 paths of Dickison Run are included in the generation of the grids to specify the direction and location of streamflow toward the grid area even though neither could be defined within the grid area. As mentioned previously, a clear-cut channel for Dickison Run on the delta could not be located during the 1999 field survey.

Farm Creek Delta

Figure 18 shows the 1904 Woermann contours of the Farm Creek delta area. Again, the location of Farm Creek in 1999 is not the same as in 1904. The path of the 1904 Farm Creek was not included in the grid because the creek did not flow through or toward the 1999 grid area in 1904. The mean grid elevation in 1904 was 432.1 feet NGVD.

The area of the Farm Creek delta is the only one of the five deltas surveyed in this study that has been subjected to urban development pressures. The grid used to define the 1999 delta was developed around features such as a cinder disposal area, Interstate 74, and an industrial park. These facilities have developed on top of portions of the early 1900s era delta formation. Thus, in addition to sand mining for construction use, large areas of the delta deposition volume could not be defined due to subsequent development.

The 1999 grid elevations were generated using the DGPS survey data, the hydrographic elevation data, the path of Farm Creek, the delineation of the water-level contouring survey routes, and a few elevation control points. Figure 19 shows the survey routes and the extent of the grid area. Figure 20 shows the contours developed for 1999. The mean grid elevation for 1999 was 439.6 feet NGVD: 7.5 feet higher than the 1904 grid elevation (Table 3). Grid elevation contours were adjusted manually to more realistically represent the topography near the northeast corner of the cinder disposal site.

Sedimentation at Delta Mouths

Figures 6-20 present the 1904 and 1999 contour maps and the location of the grid area for each delta. As previously discussed, the 1999 outlets of four of the five creeks no longer occupy the 1904 creek channels nor do they flow into the lake at the 1904 locations. Therefore, the computed volume of the sediments to be described is the volume of the sediment deposited within the grid area between 1904 and 1999. Table 4 gives the net accumulation of sediment calculated for each grid area. These results do not include sediment volumes mined from the channels or mouths of these deltas.




Figure 16. 1999 survey, route, and grid extent for Dickison Run delta




Figure 17. 1999 contour map of Dickison Run delta within grid area




Figure 18a. 1904 contour map of Farm Creek delta area, including the surveyed area of the 1999 Farm Creek delta




Figure 18b. 1904 contour map of the location of the 1999 Farm Creek delta area




Figure 19. 1999 survey, route, and grid extent for Farm Creek delta




Figure 20. 1999 contour map of Farm Creek delta within grid area

Table 4. Net Sediment Accumulation at the 1999 Delta Mouths within the Grid Area

<i>Tributary name</i>	<i>Date when 1999 outlet established*</i>	<i>Net sediment accumulation 1904-1999 (acre-feet)</i>	<i>Average sedimentation rate (acre-ft/year since 1904)</i>	<i>Grid area (acres)</i>	<i>Drainage area (square miles)</i>
Richland Creek	1944	1,428	15	372	47
Partridge Creek	1948	2,683	28	409	28
Blue Creek	1940	1,497	16	201	10.5
Dickison Run	1904	338	4	166	7.9
Farm Creek	1929	1,252	13	167	60

Note:

*Date estimated from construction permit applications or from maps.

Over the years, sediment has been mined from the channels and from the mouths of a few of these tributaries. Small sediment mining or moving operations may have occurred on Partridge, Richland, and Blue Creeks. Records of the amount of sediment moved or removed from these deltas are not available or were never kept. During the 1980s, Freesen Incorporated mined the Farm Creek channel for sediment and removed approximately 30,000 cubic yards of sediment (Personal Communication, 1999, Frank Medony, Illinois Department of Transportation). Therefore, the net sediment accumulation shown in Table 4 does not represent the actual sediment accumulation at the delta of Farm Creek. In spite of the lack of available information about sediment that may have been removed from the other deltas, the sediment accumulation values reported in Table 4, and discussed subsequently, provide important baseline values of the volume of sediment deposited at the 1999 tributary mouths compared to 1902-1904 bed elevations at the same locations.

Table 4 shows that the Partridge Creek delta had the greatest total sediment accumulation of 2,683 acre-feet while draining an area of 28 square miles, followed by Blue Creek with an accumulation of 1,497 acre-feet while draining an area of only 10.5 square miles. Richland Creek accumulated 1,428 acre-feet of sediment while draining 47 square miles, followed by Farm Creek with an accumulation of 1,252 acre-feet while draining an area of 60 square miles. The delta with the least amount of sediment accumulation is at the mouth of Dickison Run where 338 acre-feet of sediment accumulated from a drainage area of 7.9 square miles.

Using the calculated sediment accumulation from 1904-1999, the total weight of accumulated sediment within the grid area at each delta was estimated assuming a sediment weight of 70 pounds per cubic foot presented in Table 5. Partridge Creek delta has the highest sediment accumulation of about 4 million tons within the grid area, compared to 0.5 million tons for Dickison Run. In terms of sediment delivery per year per square mile of drainage area, over the 95-year period, Blue Creek had the highest rate at 2,288 tons per year per square mile of

drainage area while Farm Creek had the lowest rate of sediment delivery (34 tons per year per square mile). However, the rate of sediment delivery at Farm Creek may actually be significantly greater because an unknown amount of sediment has been removed from Farm Creek during occasional sediment mining operations.

In 1904, the lake-bottom near the Blue Creek outlet sloped gradually downward from the edge of the water to the edge of the main Illinois River channel (Figure 21). The 1999 delta form has a much steeper slope to the edge of the main river channel, where the outlet empties directly into the main Illinois River navigation channel during high river stage conditions. The main river channel flows across the leading tip of the delta, limiting the rate of apparent delta growth. It is clear that the sediment transported by Blue Creek has filled a large portion of the 1904 Illinois River channel.

During field visits to the Peoria Lake deltas in 1999, Illinois State Water Survey professionals and others observed that the mouth of Blue Creek and the tip of the delta are located at the edge of the main Illinois River navigation channel. This proximity of the Blue Creek delta to the navigation channel is illustrated in Figure 21, which shows a cross section along the spine of the Blue Creek delta as it existed in 1999. The spine defined here is similar to the spinal cord, i.e., a line following the centerline of the creek extending through the delta and into the main channel.

In Figure 21, significant lateral movement of the navigation channel to the west, a consequence of sediment deposition from Blue Creek, is clearly visible. A comparison of the 1904 Woermann contours and 1999 survey data reveals that the centerline of the navigation channel has moved about 750 feet to the west since 1904. Thus, over the last 95 years, the delta at this location has been extending to the west at an average rate of 7 feet per year.


Table 5. Sediment Accumulation Rates within the Grid Area, 1904-1999

<i>Tributary</i>	<i>Drainage area (square miles)</i>	<i>Net sediment accumulation (acre-feet)</i>	<i>Net sediment accumulation* (tons × 10⁶)</i>	<i>Rate (tons/year)</i>	<i>Net sediment accumulation (tons/square mile/year)</i>
Richland Creek	47	1,428	2.18	22,917	488
Partridge Creek	28	2,683	4.09	43,058	1,537
Blue Creek	10.5	1,497	2.28	24,024	2,288
Dickison Run	7.9	338	0.52	5,424	687
Farm Creek	60	1,252	1.91	20,093	34**

Notes:

*Assuming a unit weight of 70 pounds per cubic foot, one acre-foot equals 43,560 cubic feet. One ton equals 2,000 pounds.

**See explanations on sediment mining.



Note: The location of the 1999 delta spine was not the same as the 1904 delta spine.

Figure 21. Lateral cross section at 1999 spine of Blue Creek delta

The above analysis presents the magnitude of sediment accumulation at the mouth of each of the five deltas within respective grid areas. The sediment accumulation values could be used to roughly estimate long-term coarse grain sediment contributions to the lake from each of the tributaries. These values do not account for suspended sediment load transported to the lake from the tributaries and the bed load that may have been transported by each creek and by the Illinois River.

Stage-Area and Stage-Volume Relationships

The 1902-1904 and 1999 elevation contours of Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, Dickison Run, and Farm Creek deltas were further used to estimate the surface areas and volumes of accumulated sediment at different elevations. The lowest existing elevation at the delta mouths in 1902-1904 was used as the base elevation for each delta. From this base elevation, the surface area and the sediment volume were computed at various elevations within the grid area of each delta. These computed values were used to develop the elevation versus surface area and elevation versus accumulated sediment volume relationships for each delta. These plots are given in Figure 22.

Unit Weight and Moisture Content

On April 18, 2000, some data were collected on Peoria Lake bed sediments to determine the unit weights and moisture contents. These data were collected near River Mile 165 on the east side of the navigation channel during a dredge operation to open a boating channel from the main navigation channel to the Spindler Marina located in East Peoria. Spindler Marina is a





Figure 22. Elevation versus surface area and elevation versus volume relationships for a) Richland Creek Delta, b) Partridge Creek Delta, c) Blue Creek Delta, d) Dickison Run Delta, and e) Farm Creek Delta





Figure 22. Continued




Figure 22. Concluded

facility run by the East Peoria Park District. During this dredging operation, the bed sediments were collected by two different types of dredges: cable-arm dredging bucket operation and clam-shell-type operation. The sediments were collected, lowered gently on a waiting barge, and subsequently transported to a disposal site. Samples were collected once the sediments were dropped on the waiting barge. Additional in-situ undisturbed sediment samples were also collected from the same general area for comparative purposes. Table 6 shows the results of the laboratory analyses of the ten samples collected.

Samples UW-1–UW-5 were collected from the barge after the dredged materials were lowered on the barge. Samples UW-1 and UW-2 came from the dredged materials that were removed by the cable arm dredging technique. Samples UW-3, UW-4, and UW-5 came from the dredged materials that were removed by the clam-shell dredging technique. Sampling was done very carefully at locations where samples appeared to be less disturbed. However, all the sediment on the barge was disturbed by the dredging activity.

Samples UW-6–UW-10 were collected from the bed of the Peoria Lake close to the dredged site. These undisturbed samples were collected by following the standard procedure. Samples UW-6 and UW-7 came from a single core. For example, sample UW-6 (in-situ 0.3–0.6/2.0) was taken from a core 2 feet long that extended from 0.3 feet to 0.6 feet below the lake bottom. Similarly, sample UW-7 (in-situ 1.5–1.8/2.0) came from the same 2-foot-long core that extended from 1.5 feet to 1.8 feet below the lake bottom.

The other three samples were collected from another core 4.2 feet long. The sample UW-8 (in-situ 0.5–0.8/4.2) was collected from the 4.2-foot-long core and extended 0.5 feet to 0.8 feet

Table 6. Peoria Lake Sediment Analyses: Spindler Marina Dredging Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	<i>Date</i>	<i>Lab #</i>	<i>Unit weight (PD/FT³)</i>	<i>Water content (percent)</i>	<i>Water content (percent)</i>
UW-1 Barge Cable Arm	18-Apr-00	1525	45.4	88.4	46.9
UW-2 Barge Cable Arm	18-Apr-00	1526	39.7	104.1	50.9
UW-3 Barge Clam Shell	18-Apr-00	1527	43.9	94.8	48.7
UW-4 Barge Clam Shell	18-Apr-00	1528	48.7	81.7	44.9
UW-5 Barge Clam Shell	18-Apr-00	1529	44.5	92.7	48.1
UW-6 In-situ 0.3 – 0.6/2.0	18-Apr-00	1530	43.5	98.1	49.5
UW-7 In-situ 1.5 – 1.8/2.0	18-Apr-00	1531	45.6	89.5	47.2
UW-8 In-situ 0.5 – 0.8/4.2	18-Apr-00	1532	42.1	106.2	51.5
UW-9 In-situ 2.0 – 2.3/4.2	18-Apr-00	1533	40.3	99.2	49.8
UW-10 In-situ 3.8 – 4.1/4.2	18-Apr-00	1534	48.5	87.5	46.7

ISWS Job No: 47

Notes:

Column (5): Water content = (weight of water)/(dry net weight of sediment) × 100

Column (6): Water content = (weight of water)/(total weight of wet sediment) × 100

below the lake bottom. Similarly, sample UW-9 (in-situ 2.0–2.3/4.2) was collected from the same 4.2-foot-long core and extended 2.0 feet to 2.3 feet below the lake bottom. The last sample, UW-10 (in-situ 3.8–4.1/4.2), was collected from the same core and extended 3.8 feet to 4.1 feet below the lake bottom.

Table 6 shows all these results. Unit weights of all the samples from the barge varied from 39.7 pounds per cubic foot to 48.7 pounds per cubic foot. Unit weights of all undisturbed samples varied from 42.1 pounds per cubic foot to 48.5 pounds per cubic foot. Two columns in Table 6 describe the moisture contents of these samples. Column 5 shows the ratio of the weight of water to the net dry weight of the sediment in percent. Column 6 shows the percent of water in weight in terms of the total weight of the wet sample.

The moisture content in terms of the dry net weight of the sediments varies from 88.4 percent to 104.1 percent for dredged materials and from 87.5 percent to 106.2 percent for undisturbed samples. In terms of the total weight of the wet sediments, the moisture content varied from 46.7 percent to 50.9 percent.

This analysis showed that the dredging of Peoria Lake sediment, when done very carefully using techniques such as cable-arm or clam-shell-type dredges and keeping the structure of the dredged soil intact, has no significant effect on the moisture content of sediment compared to undisturbed sediment. However, caution must be exercised in interpreting these data. Sampling on the barge was done at places where sediment appeared to be fairly stable and intact. A random sampling would certainly show a higher moisture content than those reported here.

Summary

The Illinois State Water Survey surveyed five Peoria Lake deltas to estimate the amount of sediment accumulated at the mouths of these deltas between 1902-1904 and 1999. The deltas surveyed were: Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, Dickison Run, and Farm Creek. This report summarizes the results of the field survey conducted in 1999. In addition to reporting survey results, a historical analysis of the location, movement, and aerial extent of these deltas between 1939 and 1994 is included in this report. Delta volumes and surface areas were calculated within a grid area that encompassed the areal extent of the 1999 deltas. The grid areas could not be extended to cover each of the entire 1902-1904 deltas because of significant alterations of the tributary outlets in the last 100 years or so.

Computed sediment accumulation values given in the report do not include the suspended sediment load transported to the lake from the tributaries or the bed load contribution from the main Illinois River. The volume of net sediment accumulation was determined by comparing the 1902-1904 survey results by Woermann with the detailed 1999 topographic and hydrographic survey results for each selected delta area. A selected area at the mouth of each delta was defined and an elevation grid developed for each survey year. Net sediment accumulation was determined from the difference in the mean grid elevation between 1904 and 1999.

Analyses of historical aerial photographs indicate that all of these deltas have grown between 1939 and 1994, the 55-year period for which aerial photographs are available. Four of the five tributary channels (Richland Creek, Partridge Creek, Blue Creek, and Farm Creek) have been redirected so that the 1999 tributary channels and outlets are not at the 1904 locations.

All of the deltas studied experienced net sediment accumulation. Partridge Creek had the highest amount of sediment accumulation (2,683 acre-feet) followed by Blue Creek (1,497 acre-feet). Dickison Run had the smallest net sediment accumulation (338 acre-feet). However, in terms of sediment accumulation rates per year per unit drainage area, Blue Creek had the highest rate (2,300 tons/year/square mile), followed by Dickison Run (687 tons/year/square mile), Richland Creek (488 tons/year/square mile), and Farm Creek (34 tons/year/square mile). These results must be viewed with a cautionary note. Several of the tributary channels and mouths have been mined for sediment over the years, in particular, Farm Creek. Consequently, very little information about the amount of sediment removed is known, making it impossible to estimate the quantity of sediment removed or moved. Thus, the compiled volume of sediment accumulation at the mouths of these deltas does not reflect the actual delivery of sediment and certainly underestimates the net delivery of the sediment from these tributaries to Peoria Lake.

Relationships also have been developed between elevation and sediment volumes, and elevation and surface area for each of the deltas within the respective grid areas.


Results are also presented from a sampling program to determine unit weights and moisture contents of Peoria Lake bed sediments from the east side of the channel near Spindler Marina. Both disturbed (by dredge) and undisturbed sediment samples were collected for analysis. These results show that sediment unit weights vary from 39.7 pounds per cubic foot to 48.7 pounds per cubic foot. The moisture content in terms of net dry weight of sediments varies from 87.5 percent to 104.1 percent.

References


- Bhowmik, N. G., W.C. Bogner, J.A. Slowikowski, J.R. Adams. 1993. *Source Monitoring and Evaluation of Sediment Inputs for Peoria Lake*. Illinois State Water Survey Contract Report 514.
- Communication Systems International Inc. (CSII). *MBX-2/00 Marine Beacon Receiver User Manual*, Document Number 875-0005-005, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2H 0G5.
- Demissie, M., and N.G. Bhowmik. 1986. *Peoria Lake Sediment Investigation*. Illinois State Water Survey Contract Report 371.
- Leica Navigation and Positioning Division (LNPd). *MX 8600 GPS/GIS Data Collection System Manual*, Torrance, CA (<http://www.leica-gps.com/gps/product/mx8600dat.htm>).
- McKibbin, J. C. 1954. *Datum Planes in Illinois*, Civil Engineering Department, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, Graduate Thesis.
- Odom Hydrographic Systems, Inc. (OHS). *ECHOTRAC MKII, Installation/Operation Manual*, Version 6.10, Baton Rouge, LA. Email: odomsys@ix.netcom.com.
- Woermann, J.W. 1902-1904. *Map of the Illinois and Des Plaines Rivers from Lockport, Illinois, to the Mouth of the Illinois River*. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

**Appendix. Historical Aerial Photographs
of the Five Selected Deltas**


AA-R1 to R8 Aerial Views of the Richland Creek Delta



R1: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1939



R2: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1951




R3: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1957




R4: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1963



R5: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1969



R6: Aerial view of Richland Creek, 1974




R7: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1988



R8: Aerial view of Richland Creek Delta, 1994


AA-P1 to P8 Aerial Views of the Partridge Creek Delta




P1: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1939




P2: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1951



P3: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1957




P4: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1963




P5: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1969



P6: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1974




P7: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1988



P8: Aerial view of Partridge Creek Delta, 1994


AA-B1 to B7 Aerial Views of the Blue Creek Delta




B1: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1939



B2: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1951




B3: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1957



B4: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1963




B5: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1974



B6: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1988




B7: Aerial view of Blue Creek Delta, 1994



D1: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1939




D2: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1951



D3: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1957



D4: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1974




D5: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1988



D6: Aerial view of Dickison Run Delta, 1994


AA-F1 to F7 Aerial Views of the Farm Creek Delta



F1: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1939




F2: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1951



F3: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1963



F4: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1969



F5: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1974



F6: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1988



F7: Aerial view of Farm Creek Delta, 1994

